

Child Abuse in Iowa, by County, 2017

<i>County</i>	<i>2014 Pop 0-17</i>	<i>Reports Accepted</i>	<i>Family Assess- ments</i>	<i>Child Abuse Assess- ments</i>	<i>Percent Assigned to FA</i>	<i>Confirmed or founded reports</i>	<i>Confirm- ation rate</i>	<i>Abused children</i>	<i>Ch'n abused/ 1,000</i>	<i>Rank in rate of abuse</i>
Adair	1,486	67	16	51	23.9%	27	52.9%	41	27.59	9
Adams	797	44	9	35	20.5%	12	34.3%	21	26.35	11
Allamakee	3,088	111	20	91	18.0%	38	41.8%	48	15.54	48
Appanoose	2,709	198	37	161	18.7%	52	32.3%	87	32.12	3
Audubon	1,120	72	9	63	12.5%	25	39.7%	34	30.36	4
Benton	5,937	277	74	203	26.7%	57	28.1%	74	12.46	69
Black Hawk	28,123	1,520	273	1,247	18.0%	374	30.0%	499	17.74	34
Boone	5,505	260	45	215	17.3%	66	30.7%	87	15.80	46
Bremer	5,331	137	38	99	27.7%	30	30.3%	41	7.69	95
Buchanan	5,384	158	33	125	20.9%	35	28.0%	47	8.73	90
Buena Vista	5,010	190	71	119	37.4%	39	32.8%	64	12.77	65
Butler	3,312	110	22	88	20.0%	25	28.4%	33	9.96	84
Calhoun	2,070	87	29	58	33.3%	25	43.1%	24	11.59	76
Carroll	4,848	153	42	111	27.5%	32	28.8%	42	8.66	91
Cass	2,952	197	46	151	23.4%	42	27.8%	63	21.34	20
Cedar	4,073	178	41	137	23.0%	59	43.1%	77	18.90	32
Cerro Gordo	8,748	572	129	443	22.6%	161	36.3%	183	20.92	25
Cherokee	2,342	107	27	80	25.2%	26	32.5%	38	16.23	44
Chickasaw	2,781	104	28	76	26.9%	26	34.2%	31	11.15	81
Clarke	2,311	121	24	97	19.8%	38	39.2%	51	22.07	16
Clay	3,646	200	37	163	18.5%	55	33.7%	88	24.14	14
Clayton	3,753	116	24	92	20.7%	28	30.4%	42	11.19	79
Clinton	10,585	803	142	661	17.7%	239	36.2%	293	27.68	8
Crawford	4,179	120	21	99	17.5%	35	35.4%	52	12.44	70
Dallas	23,505	415	100	315	24.1%	107	34.0%	130	5.53	99
Davis	2,525	63	17	46	27.0%	13	28.3%	21	8.32	92
Decatur	1,737	110	23	87	20.9%	27	31.0%	34	19.57	30
Delaware	4,032	125	28	97	22.4%	25	25.8%	30	7.44	96
Des Moines	8,765	632	109	523	17.2%	173	33.1%	233	26.58	10
Dickinson	3,277	134	33	101	24.6%	32	31.7%	40	12.21	71
Dubuque	21,853	939	193	746	20.6%	245	32.8%	339	15.51	49
Emmet	1,949	170	33	137	19.4%	66	48.2%	98	50.28	1
Fayette	4,119	224	49	175	21.9%	54	30.9%	73	17.72	35
Floyd	3,580	158	44	114	27.8%	40	35.1%	58	16.20	45
Franklin	2,314	121	24	97	19.8%	34	35.1%	44	19.01	31
Fremont	1,494	77	21	56	27.3%	21	37.5%	32	21.42	19
Greene	2,019	116	30	86	25.9%	29	33.7%	31	15.35	52
Grundy	2,833	95	18	77	18.9%	22	28.6%	28	9.88	85
Guthrie	2,357	125	28	97	22.4%	33	34.0%	41	17.39	37
Hamilton	3,403	146	30	116	20.5%	27	23.3%	38	11.17	80
Hancock	2,389	93	20	73	21.5%	26	35.6%	27	11.30	78
Hardin	3,354	232	49	183	21.1%	51	27.9%	61	18.19	33
Harrison	3,176	116	31	85	26.7%	22	25.9%	38	11.96	73
Henry	4,233	200	46	154	23.0%	70	45.5%	90	21.26	21
Howard	2,260	91	16	75	17.6%	29	38.7%	48	21.24	22
Humboldt	2,135	90	21	69	23.3%	21	30.4%	26	12.18	72
Ida	1,614	68	19	49	27.9%	22	44.9%	36	22.30	15
Iowa	3,670	148	32	116	21.6%	29	25.0%	37	10.08	83
Jackson	4,194	206	49	157	23.8%	43	27.4%	54	12.88	64
Jasper	8,046	442	107	335	24.2%	92	27.5%	107	13.30	61
Jefferson	2,828	208	52	156	25.0%	63	40.4%	83	29.35	6

Source: Iowa Department of Human Services (<http://dhs.iowa.gov/reports/child-abuse-statistics>)

Prepared by: Stephen Scott, Scott Advocacy and Consulting, LLC, www.scottadvconsult.com

Child Abuse in Iowa, by County, 2017

<i>County</i>	<i>2014 Pop 0-17</i>	<i>Reports Accepted</i>	<i>Family Assess- ments</i>	<i>Child Abuse Assess- ments</i>	<i>Percent Assigned to FA</i>	<i>Confirmed or founded reports</i>	<i>Confirm- ation rate</i>	<i>Abused children</i>	<i>Ch'n abused/ 1,000</i>	<i>Rank in rate of abuse</i>
Johnson	29,097	798	215	583	26.9%	155	26.6%	205	7.05	97
Jones	4,362	233	56	177	24.0%	50	28.2%	60	13.76	58
Keokuk	2,292	140	37	103	26.4%	35	34.0%	39	17.02	40
Kossuth	3,261	84	22	62	26.2%	28	45.2%	49	15.03	54
Lee	7,199	623	124	499	19.9%	168	33.7%	212	29.45	5
Linn	51,341	2,654	592	2,062	22.3%	552	26.8%	695	13.54	59
Louisa	2,511	116	13	103	11.2%	45	43.7%	53	21.11	23
Lucas	1,922	92	16	76	17.4%	18	23.7%	32	16.65	41
Lyon	3,301	65	26	39	40.0%	17	43.6%	29	8.79	89
Madison	3,935	156	26	130	16.7%	48	36.9%	61	15.50	51
Mahaska	5,250	323	59	264	18.3%	75	28.4%	87	16.57	42
Marion	7,889	305	72	233	23.6%	76	32.6%	83	10.52	82
Marshall	9,913	586	115	471	19.6%	162	34.4%	200	20.18	28
Mills	3,483	151	41	110	27.2%	34	30.9%	44	12.63	66
Mitchell	2,534	69	18	51	26.1%	21	41.2%	24	9.47	87
Monona	1,840	85	14	71	16.5%	24	33.8%	32	17.39	38
Monroe	1,810	71	11	60	15.5%	12	20.0%	14	7.73	94
Montgomery	2,239	144	40	104	27.8%	27	26.0%	37	16.53	43
Muscatine	10,604	630	134	496	21.3%	191	38.5%	233	21.97	17
O'Brien	3,225	182	33	149	18.1%	54	36.2%	82	25.43	12
Osceola	1,395	54	8	46	14.8%	12	26.1%	18	12.90	63
Page	2,802	201	49	152	24.4%	51	33.6%	81	28.91	7
Palo Alto	2,024	77	22	55	28.6%	25	45.5%	35	17.29	39
Plymouth	6,150	190	51	139	26.8%	45	32.4%	57	9.27	88
Pocahontas	1,521	85	31	54	36.5%	20	37.0%	20	13.15	62
Polk	117,227	4,894	902	3,992	18.4%	1,240	31.1%	1,655	14.12	57
Pottawattamie	21,546	1,401	303	1,098	21.6%	334	30.4%	463	21.49	18
Poweshiek	3,675	184	43	141	23.4%	40	28.4%	57	15.51	50
Ringgold	1,172	47	10	37	21.3%	7	18.9%	14	11.95	74
Sac	2,180	68	15	53	22.1%	19	35.8%	34	15.60	47
Scott	40,380	2,193	473	1,720	21.6%	618	35.9%	704	17.43	36
Shelby	2,587	119	38	81	31.9%	23	28.4%	38	14.69	56
Sioux	9,328	178	30	148	16.9%	54	36.5%	76	8.15	93
Story	15,901	541	97	444	17.9%	191	43.0%	244	15.34	53
Tama	4,098	222	56	166	25.2%	51	30.7%	82	20.01	29
Taylor	1,432	57	18	39	31.6%	17	43.6%	30	20.95	24
Union	2,727	208	31	177	14.9%	70	39.5%	97	35.57	2
Van Buren	1,668	82	19	63	23.2%	16	25.4%	19	11.39	77
Wapello	7,639	566	123	443	21.7%	120	27.1%	156	20.42	27
Warren	12,223	389	92	297	23.7%	118	39.7%	145	11.86	75
Washington	5,489	201	50	151	24.9%	38	25.2%	54	9.84	86
Wayne	1,602	59	11	48	18.6%	14	29.2%	20	12.48	68
Webster	7,677	522	126	396	24.1%	139	35.1%	157	20.45	26
Winnebago	2,302	103	23	80	22.3%	25	31.3%	29	12.60	67
Winneshiek	3,710	72	12	60	16.7%	18	30.0%	26	7.01	98
Woodbury	26,399	1,529	318	1,211	20.8%	439	36.3%	654	24.77	13
Worth	1,535	74	21	53	28.4%	24	45.3%	23	14.98	55
Wright	2,969	149	41	108	27.5%	36	33.3%	40	13.47	60
Totals	715,117	33,418	7,136	26,282	21.4%	8,558	32.6%	11,236	15.71	

Source: Iowa Department of Human Services (<http://dhs.iowa.gov/reports/child-abuse-statistics>)

Prepared by: Stephen Scott, Scott Advocacy and Consulting, LLC, www.scottadvconsult.com